The All New Lincoln Continental



Shawn Morgans Technical Leader & Front End / Under Body Applications Manager - NA Ford Motor Company

Agenda

- History / Background
- Platform Lineage
- Material Usage
- Design Concepts
- Functional Performance
 - Static Stiffness
 - Dynamic Stiffness
 - Safety

History / Background

 $\left(\right)$



1940 LINCOLN CONTINENTAL

Under the direction of Edsel Ford, designer E.T. "Bob" Gregorie conceived the original Continental design by modifying a Lincoln Zephyr with more elegant proportions. Renowned for its long hood and clean side panels, it has been hailed as one of the most beautiful cars of all time.



4 1956 CONTINENTAL MARK II

William Clay Ford resurrected the Continental nameplate and, in the process, introduced the Continental Division. The Mark II's expansive hood and classic, clean sides and roofline were consistent with the original, while its front end and rear taillight are often credited with helping usher in modern automobile design.

1961 LINCOLN CONTINENTAL >

The "suicide doors" make the 1961 model instantly recognizable. Its crisp, understated style, highlighted by the slab-sided design, eschewed the trends of the day. It remains an icon of American pop culture, continuing to appear in movies and television that seek to evoke the unbridled enthusiasm of that era.



Platform Development

CD Sedan CD SUV/CUV Fusion MKZ





Edge (AP)

S-Max

Galaxy

MKX



€



€



★ Manufacturing: Flat Rock, MI

Materials





- Increase in DP600 to offset increase in vehicle mass compared to other platform derivatives
- Yield Strength increase on Pan stampings
- Significant increase in use of DP800 over previous models
- Boron / Martensitic steel usage is aligned with current strategies
- Average BIW Yield Strength ≈ 340 MPa



Design Concepts

\



Key Dimension Changes:

- · Continental wheel and tire sizes increased to 20"
- Unique suspension with an increased tread (27mm frt / 63mm rr)
- Continental is 150mm longer in the wheelbase versus the MKZ providing a significantly improved 2nd row legroom

\



- Rear of the Front Floor Pan is extended 134 mm to adjust for a portion of the wheel base increase
- Sled Runners and Tunnel Runners extended to match the length of the Front Pan
- Remainder of the vehicle length increase is accounted for in the all new Rear Pan Assembly

 $\left(\right)$



Front Structure is based on the Fusion design and uses an S-Brace Rail section angles toward the rocker as it transitions under the dash for improved load path.

Hexagonal front rail section for improved axial crush performance

Y-Brace replaces the typical Torque Box to distribute load to the rocker and the sled runners

 $\left(\right)$



Front Rail geometry is the common with the Fusion

- Cross section identical except for local differences driven by package conditions
- Length of Rails are identical

Rail Inner material grade changed to DP600 to manage the energy associated with the higher vehicle mass



Advantages associated with the performance of continuous closed sections:

- Enabler for use of AHSS
- 25% Reduction in Variable Cost
- 9% Reduction in Mass



Integrated into the rail section for optimal load transfer to improve joint stiffness – elimination of flange flex

Improved joint resulted in the following improvements in BIW torsional stiffness by13%

Local and equivalent stiffness for Sub-frame and Shock attachments were increased 10%



- Rear pan utilizes a laser welded blank to:
 - · Consolidate parts and reduce tooling
 - Utilize grades and gauges were required
- Increased YS of center section of the floor pan from 140 MPa to 210MPa resulting in about a 1kg reduction in mass
- Tire tub area uses mild steel for improved stamping performance

Increased YS of the Front Pan from 140MPa to 210MPa

- · Improved performance during high strain events
- Gauge reduction over typical standard resulting in a 3% mass savings



17



- Front Bumper Beam plays a major role in Small Offset Rigid Barrier Performance
- Extensions engage the barrier and perform two tasks:

\

- 1. Initiate rotation of the vehicle about the barrier
- 2. Engage the Front Rail to absorb energy





Y=0 Section

Double Cell Back Panel construction provide the following benefits:

- Improved stiffness at the Deck Lid Latch
 - 128% improvement in lateral stiffness
 - 44% improvement in vertical stiffness
- 29% increase torsional rigidity
- Reduced intrusion during rear impact
- Improve Tire Tub durability

Kick Up Cross Member





Typical joint between the Kick Up Cross Member and the Rear Rail is made on the inboard side of the Rail

\

Continental construction moves the joint to the outboard side of the Rail providing:

- Increased rigidity by minimizing the rotation at the joint
- Improved side impact performance due to early engagement of the cross member

Package Tray Enhancements





- Simplified stamping geometry
- Reduced assembly complexity through part elimination
- Mass reduction of 8.5kg
- Improvement in torsional stiffness of 205 kNm/rad





Laser welds are used in the Body Side for joining of reinforcements and Body Side Outer to the hydro-form A-Pillar / Roof Rail

- Combination of stitch and "C" welds
- Average length of laser welds is 25 mm
- Total laser weld length approximately 6.1 meters

GMAW are only used in areas critical areas where two sided access is not available

System	Spot	Laser	GMAW	Nut	Stud
Body Side	394	210	2	54	4
Framing	900	34	14		2
Under Body	1105	N/A	N/A	17	52
Front Structure	409	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total	2808	244	16	71	58

\



- 23 meters of adhesive in the BIW primarily in the platform
- Material used to improve stiffness and NVH performance
- Added between Body Side Outer and the Hydro-Form A-Pillar / Roof Rail to augment welding
- · Additional adhesive used in the closure hems

Static & Dynamic Stiffness Performance

Static Stiffness Performance - Bending

∄



26

Static Stiffness Performance - Torsion

⊕



Dynamic Stiffness – Vertical Bending

⊕



Dynamic Stiffness – Lateral Bending

∄



€



Safety Performance



z A



NCAP Frontal Barrier

⊕



€

Frontal Offset

y x

Model info: C.\Users\NSAHA3\Documents\D544_VP\D544VP_ODB,091815\MS_floor\D544VP_ODB_MSfloork Result: C.\Users\NSAHA3\Documents\D544_VP\D544VP_ODB_091815\MS_floorMS.d3plot Loadcase 1 : Time = 0.00000 Frame 1







Frontal Offset



Frontal Offset

€



Data represents internal test performance

 $\left(\right)$

Model info: C:\Users\NSAHA3\Documents\D544_VP\VP_D544_SORB_3L33\3L100_SKF_SORB\D544VP_3L100_SORB.k Result: C:\Users\NSAHA3\Documents\D544_VP\VP_D544_SORB_3L33\3L100_SKF_SORB\3L100SKF.d3plot Loadcase 1 : Time = 0.000000 Frame 1





38





Small Offset Rigid Barrier







Small Offset Rigid Barrier

Contour Plot Effective plastic strain(Scalar value, Max) Maximum Average -3.275E+00 -2.000E-02 -1.750E-02 -1.500E-02 -1.250E-02 -1.000E-02 -7 500E-03 -5.000E-03 -2.500E-03 ____0.000E+00 No result Max = 3.275E+00 Node 36020489 Min = 0.000E+00 Node 14024914



____ X

THE LINCOLN MOTOR COMPANY

€



Data represents internal test performance

\



Roof Strength Performance



Roof Strength Performance



Roof Strength Performance

€



46

Side Impact Performance



 $\left(\right)$

Thanks for you attention

